



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/448,055 11/23/99 KODAMA

K 990612/LH

□

EXAMINER

PM82/0222

FRISHAUF HOLTZ GOODMAN LANGER AND CHICK
767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR
NEW YORK NY 10017-2023

MCALLISTER, S
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2167
DATE MAILED:

✓
02/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/448,055	Applicant(s) Kodama et al
	Examiner Steven B. McAllister	Group Art Unit 2167

Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims 1-15 are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method for assembling a product database, classified in class 705, subclass 22.
 - II. Claim 13, drawn to a method for assembling a by-product database, classified in class 705, subclass 22.
 - III. Claim 14, drawn to a storage media, classified in class 360, subclass 131.
 - IV. Claim 15, drawn to a manufacturing engineering information management system, classified in class 700, subclass 90.
2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as to enable tracking of by-products that are unrelated to the product manufacturing process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3652

4. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately

usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a recording medium to record

any data. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

5. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately

usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a recording medium to record

any data. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

6. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately

usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as storing data unrelated to a

manufacturing process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

7. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately

usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as storing data unrelated to a

manufacturing process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

8. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a

single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as an independent

data storage mode. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3652

9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (703) 308-7052.

Steven B. McAllister
Steven B. McAllister

February 15, 2001

Robert P. Olszewski
ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 02103